File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

Date Revised: August 3, 2004

Field Field

Field Name Type Length Data Source Description Specifications and Calculations

#### FILE INFORMATION

Because Microsoft Excel (.xls) and data base format (.dbf) files have a maximum limit of variables in a file, approximately 250 variables, multiple files are needed to report the data. For accuracy and ease of presentation the file layout is not separated into multiple file layouts. The variables on the multiple files are sequenced in ascending order as described in the file layout. Each file has identification variables (CODE) for matching data from the discrete file.

The Excel files are:

NCLB04\_1.xls NCLB04\_2.xls

The DBF files are:

NCLB04\_1.dbf

NCLB04\_2.dbf

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lengtl	Data Source	Description		Specifications and Calculations
						IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION
					File Name:	NCLByy common layout – School/District/State – SAS and EXCEL format
					Description:	The "No Child Left Behind Act of 2001," is a reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965. The Act continues federal distribution to public elementary and secondary education schools, districts, and states to support education for disadvantaged students.
						The file reports AYP status for the school, district or state. Annual Measurable Objects (AMO's) are presented for reading, mathematics, other indicators and participation rate components and for subpopulations of White non-Hispanic, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, and Students with Disabilities.
						Reportable subpopulations (sufficient size criteria) A subpopulation is only reportable (of sufficient size) if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per accountable grade and 60 students overall per school/district [as appropriate] in the accountable grades or that the subpopulation must be at least 15% of the overall school/district total population). NOTE: Total Population does not have the minimum group size applied.
						Reportable subpopulation <u>Participation Rate (sufficient size criteria)</u> Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable when it meets a minimum group size (10 students per accountable grade and 60 students overall per school/district) in the accountable grades.
					Program Name:	NCLByy.SAS
					Security Level:	Public upon release date; otherwise internal.
					2	2004 Revision Informaion
					Version 03 – Fel	ebruary 06, 2004 The addition of "???" value to Overall AYP Status –2003 (AYP_OA03) was removed. USDOE denied Kentucky's request for transitional authority.
					р	arch 30, 2004 The addition of variable IPGL2002 and movement of variable TST_AI_02 to proper position in layout. Variable IPGL2002 is present in the data file, but was not documented in the file layout.
					• T	The addition of variable IPGL2002 and movement of variable TST_ proper position in layout. Variable IPGL2002 is present in the data

AYP_PAR02 [Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minim group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district)  Version 06 – May 15, 2004	İ	Field Field	t			
Add missing information for variable AYP_PAR03 that was included in variable AYP_PAR02 [Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minim group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district)  Version 06 – May 15, 2004	Field Name	Type Leng	gth Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations	
Add additional 2004 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) variables for Reading, Mathematics, etc.  Add additional variables for grade counts for each subpopulation.  Revise identification criteria used to identify a subpopulation of sufficient size assessment of its AMO. Starting with 2004 data, sufficient size procedure specifies that each accountable grade must have 10 subpopulation students (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district or the subpopulation met be at least 15% of the overall school/district total population for the subpopulation to meet sufficient size criteria.  Provide for new guidelines from USDOE, that the participation rate for annua testing can now be an average of up to three years. This procedure applies tooth the total population of students rad each subpopulation. These findicate the use of 15% criterion to identify the subpopulation. These findicate the use of 15% criterion to identify the subpopulation as meeting sufficient size.  Revise LEP subpopulation calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above starting in 2004, if a LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by demonstrating En proficiency) in the last two vears can/will be added to the current vear subpopulation prior to calculation of the percentage Proficient or above. The decision to add exited LEP students will depend on its impact.  Added the following varibles:  LEP_RAC_COMB (Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population S LEP_MAC_OMB (Limited English Proficiency participation combined Population S LEP_PAR_COMB (Limited English Proficiency participation combined Population S LEP_PAR_COMB (Limited English Proficiency participation combined Population of the proficency participation of the proficency participation combined Population S LEP_PAR_COMB (Limited English Proficiency					<ul> <li>Add missing information for variable AYP_PAR03 that was included in variable AYP_PAR02 [Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]</li> <li>Version 06 – May 15, 2004 <ul> <li>Revised Performance Judgment definitions for districts.</li> <li>Add additional 2004 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) variables for Reading, Mathematics, etc.</li> <li>Add additional variables for grade counts for each subpopulation of sufficient size for assessment of its AMO. Starting with 2004 data, sufficient size procedure specifies that each accountable grade must have 10 subpopulation students and (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district or the subpopulation must be at least 15% of the overall school/district total population) for the subpopulation to meet sufficient size criteria.</li> <li>Provide for new guidelines from USDOE, that the participation rate for annual testing can now be an average of up to three years. This procedure applies to both the total population of students and each subpopulation.</li> <li>Add Reading &amp; Mathematics Flag variables for each subpopulation. These flags indicate the use of 15% criterion to identify the subpopulation as meeting sufficien size.</li> <li>Revise LEP subpopulation calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above. Starting in 2004, if a LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by demonstrating Englis proficiency) in the last two vears can/will be added to the current vear subpopulation prior to calculation of the percentage Proficient or above. The decision to add exited LEP students will depend on its impact.</li> <li>Added the following varibles:</li> <li>LEP_RD_COMB (Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population Statu LEP_PAR_COMB (Limited English Proficiency Participation Combined Population Statu LEP_PAR_COMB (Limited English Proficiency Participation Combined Population St</li></ul></li></ul>	r d on ssent

Date Created: August 3, 2004 Date Revised: August 3, 2004

File Name: NCLByy

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
					DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION
					Information contained on this file is obtained from the raw data generated from the Kentucky Core Content Test (KCCT) provided by the contractor, Nationally Norm Referenced Test (NRT) provided by the contractor, databases at the Kentucky Department of Education housing nonacademic data, school information, district information from current and prior years.  Identification and matching of school, district, and state level data is handled via the CODE field.
					File Sequence: CODE ascending.  Terminology:  • AMO – Annual Measurable Objective  • AYP – Adequate Yearly Progress  • BLANK - When used in the description of a value in a data field, blank is used interchangeably with null and missing.  • CATS – Commonwealth Accountability Testing System  • KCCT – Kentucky Core Content Tests  • NCLB – No Child Left Behind  • NRT – Nationally Norm Referenced Test (CBTS/5 Survey Edition)  • NULL - When used in the description of a value in a data field, null is used interchangeably with blank and missing.  • QC – Quality Control
					FILE NAMES
					(School/District/State) NCLB04. – NCLB 2004 performance.

Field Name		Field	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
CODE	Type Char.	6	SCHCTL	Description	Specifications and Calculations  Code Number assigned by KDE to identify the school building site.  Code consists of a 3 character District No. followed by 3 characters of blanks.  Certain Districts have different numbers assigned for Commonwealth Accountability Testing System processing. These are:  602 KY SCH FOR BLIND State school, responsible for administration of Commonwealth Accountability Testing System test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the School of the parents' legal residence.  603 KY SCH FOR DEAF State school, responsible for administration of Commonwealth Accountability Testing System test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the school of the parents' legal residence.  606 MODEL LAB Special school run by Eastern Kentucky University, with fiscal responsibility of Madison County Public Schools. Effective 2002-2003, Model Lab school results are reported within Madison County Public Schools (district 365).  999 STATE Code For summarization of school results at the STATE level, a special code (999) is assigned within the Commonwealth Accountability Testing System to permit the aggregation and reporting of data at the State level.
DISTNO	Char.	6	Schctlyy	District Number	District Number assigned by KDE.  Code consists of a 3 character District No. followed by a 3 blank character s.  • Certain Districts have different numbers assigned for KCCT processing. These are:  602 KY SCH FOR BLIND State school, responsible for administration of KCCT test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the School of parents legal residence.  603 KY SCH FOR DEAF State school, responsible for administration of KCCT test (School of Origin). Students are accountable to the School of parents legal residence.  607 FT CAMPBELL Federal Schools on Military base. Students do not participate in the testing program and the schools are not accountable to the Commonwealth of Kentucky.  608 FT KNOX Federal Schools on Military base. Students do not participate in the testing program and the schools are not accountable to the Commonwealth of Kentucky.  606 MODEL LAB Special Schools run by Eastern Kentucky University, with fiscal responsibility of Madison County Public Schools. Effective 2002-2003, Model Lab school results are reported within Madison County Public Schools (district 365).

Field Name		Field			
DECION			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
REGION	Char.	2	Schotlyy	ADD Region Code	Area Development District (ADD) Region codes indicate the geographic region where a school is located.  Kentucky's counties are grouped into 15 regions known as Area Development Districts, or ADD's. ADD's are a means by which local elected officials and citizens unite to provide for the planned growth of their area. An ADD is therefore a regional organization that assists in the formulation and implementation of human resource and infrastructure related plans.  Region codes range from 01 to 15.  Area Development District (ADD) Region codes 01 - Purchase 02 - Pennyrile 03 - Green River 04 - Barren River 05 - Lincoln Trail 06 - KIPDA (Also encompasses Indiana counties of Clark and Floyd) 07 - Northern Kentucky 08 - Buffalo Trace 09 - Gateway 10 - FIVCO 11 - Dig Sandy 12 - Kentucky River 13 - Cumberland Valley 14 - Lake Cumberland 15 - Bluegrass
DISTNAME	Char.	30	SCHCTL	District Name	Name of District.  • 999 = State
SCHNAME	Char.	45	SCHCTL	School Name	School name

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
CLSS	Type Char.	Length 9	Data Source KDEACCyy	PERFORMANCE JUDGMENT – 2004	PERFORMANCE JUDGMENT  Accountability Cycle 2004 Performance Judgment Messages. For the preliminary NCLB release (August 2004) CATS Performance Judgment messages are not reported. When CATS results are released in October 2004, the Performance Judgment in added.  School performance judgment messages are:  • MEET GOAL – "Meets Goal" • DROP NOV – "Meets Goal – Dropout and Novice Reduction" • DROP – "Meets Goal – Dropout" • NOV – "Meets Goal – Novice Reduction" • PROGRESS – "Progressing" • DECL D/N - "Progressing – Decline, Dropout Rate and Novice Reduction" • DECL D/N - "Progressing – Decline and Dropout" • DECL NOV – "Progressing – Decline and Novice Reduction" • PROG D/N – "Progressing – Dropout and Novice Reduction" • PROG D/N – "Progressing – Dropout and Novice Reduction" • PROG NOV – "Progressing – Dropout Rate" • PROG NOV – "Progressing – Dropout Rate" • PROG NOV – "Progressing – Novice Reduction" • ASSIST 1 – "Assistance Level 1" • ASSIST 2 – "Assistance Level 2" • ASSIST 3 – "Assistance Level 3"  District performance judgment messages are:  • MEET GOAL – "Exemplary Growth District" • AUDIT 1 = "Audit Level 1 District" • AUDIT 2 = "Audit Level 2 District" • NOT MET – "No Classification"  Further definition of the messages can be found in the KDE document Commonwealth Accountability Testing System, Performance Judgment Messages – Accountability Cycle 2004
		1		11	

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
JOINT	Char.	1	SCHCTL.DBF	Joint School	Joined School Indicator.  Data from schools that do not house both grades 4 and 5 or both grades 7 and 8. Student performance data for the split schools are aggregated into a combined school data entity referred to as a Joined School.  BLANK = Not a joined school  1 = Joined school  Note: Joint School Indicator is used only to note the schools that makeup a joint school (AAA) but is not used to indicate the actual joint school (AAA).  All joint schools are provided a NCLB Federal Accountability Report. However, these reports document the progress of all of the joint school, as a whole and not the progress of each individual school that makeup the joint school.
ACC_LOW	Char	2	SCHCTL	Low grade of Accountability	Accountability Lowest Grade Level in School year.  00 = Pre-school / Head-start 0E = 5 year olds – formally Kindergarten type students 0P = Primary PS = Pre-school Non-Public 01 = 1st grade – Federal operated schools only 02 = 2nd grade – Federal operated schools only 03 = 3rd grade – Federal operated schools only 04 = 4th Grade 05 = 5th Grade 05 = 5th Grade 06 = 6th Grade 07 = 7th Grade 08 = 8th Grade 09 = 9th Grade 10 = 10th Grade 11 = 11th Grade 12 = 12th Grade

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACC_HGH	Char	2	SCHCTL	High grade of Accountability	Accountability Highest Grade Level in school year.  00 = Pre-school / Head-start 0E = 5 year olds – formally Kindergarten type students 0P = Primary PS = Pre-school Non-Public 01 = 1st grade – Federal operated schools only 02 = 2nd grade – Federal operated schools only 03 = 3rd grade – Federal operated schools only 04 = 4th Grade 05 = 5th Grade 06 = 6th Grade 07 = 7th Grade 08 = 8th Grade 09 = 9th Grade 10 = 10th Grade 11 = 11th Grade 12 = 12th Grade
TITLE_1	Char.	1	T1SCHyy_NCL B	Title 1 School	<ul> <li>Y = Yes, a Title I school or district</li> <li>N = No, not a Title I School or district</li> </ul> NOTE: The school's NCLB's Title 1 status is given as of the start of the school year following the prior school year Spring KCCT testing. The NCLB's Title 1 status may be different from the KCCT's Title 1 status reported in the Kentucky Performance Report (KPR). The school's/district's KPR Title 1 status is stated at the time of testing while the NCLB's Title 1 status is stated at the time of reporting (the beginning of the next school year).

Field Name		Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACTION	Char.	1	SCHCTL	School Reconfiguration Indicator	Restructuring of School Student Population based on Geographic boundary changes and / or major student enrollment shifts. The calculation of the School's Accountability Indexes, Baseline, Improvement Goals, and Performance Judgments are effected.  A = Reconfigured School or New School – District Baseline is used in Accountability calculations.  C = Reconfigured School or New School in 1998-1999 school year. School is treated as if it Is not reconfigured, with the exception that the nonacademic index from the district from the previous year at the corresponding level (elementary, middle, or high school) is substituted for the school's nonacademic data.  D = Reconfigured School that is accountable based on it's own results but uses the District goal, assistance and novice reduction lines.  E = Reconfigured School or New School in the current school year. School is treated as if It is not reconfigured, with the exception that the nonacademic index from the district from the first (Baseline) accountability cycle (1999-2002) at the corresponding level (elementary, middle, or high school) is substituted for the school's nonacademic data.  F = Reconfigured School that is using the District Baseline (goal) for Accountability. School is treated as if it is not reconfigured (will use school assessment data), with the exception that the district nonacademic information from the previous year at the high school level is substituted for the school's nonacademic data. (This is a special case for new high schools that do not have all grades in the prior year).  N = No Index Computed – School is an A2 A6 school and/or school closed within the reporting school year.  S = Standard Computation blank = School not Reconfigured  Field is blank in State (999) records.
ACTNYR	Char.	4	Translated from SCHCTL	Year of School Reconfiguration	Year of School Reconfiguration  NOTE: Variable is used by contractor and not reported on KPR.
GRD_RDN	Num	8	Translated from SCHCTL	Reading Level	Reading School, District or State grade levels  1. Elementary 2. Middle 3. Elementary and Middle 4. High 5. Elementary and High (Not currently used) 6. Middle and High 7. Elementary, Middle and High

	Field	Field			
Field Name			h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
GRD_MAN	Num	8	Translated from SCHCTL	Mathematics Level	Mathematics School, District or State grade levels  1. Elementary 2. Middle 3. Elementary and Middle 4. High 5. Elementary and High (Not currently used) 6. Middle and High 7. Elementary, Middle and High
					NCLB STARTING POINTS and GOALS INFORMATION
RD_2002	Num	8	calculated	Reading Starting point	Reading Starting point
	6.2				<ul> <li>The 20<sup>th</sup> Proficiency percentile starting points in reading calculated separately at the elementary, middle and high school levels.</li> <li>Schools or districts that have multiple school levels (see GRD_RDN) have calculated starting points based on those multiple levels. These starting points are the unweighted averages of the associated levels at these schools or districts.</li> <li>2002 is the starting year for NCLB</li> </ul>
RD_2003	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2003	Reading Goal for 2003
RD_2004	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2004	Reading Goal for 2004
RD_2005	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2005	Reading Goal for 2005
RD_2006	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2006	Reading Goal for 2006
RD_2007	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2007	Reading Goal for 2007
RD_2008	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2008	Reading Goal for 2008
RD_2009	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2009	Reading Goal for 2009
RD_2010	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2010	Reading Goal for 2010
RD_2011	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2011	Reading Goal for 2011
RD_2012	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2012	Reading Goal for 2012
RD_2013	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2013	Reading Goal for 2013
RD_2014	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Reading Goal for 2014	Reading Goal for 2014

		Field			
Field Name		Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
MA_2002	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Starting point	Mathematics Starting point
MA_2003	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2003	Mathematics Goal for 2003
MA_2004	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2004	Mathematics Goal for 2004
MA_2005	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2005	Mathematics Goal for 2005
MA_2006	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2006	Mathematics Goal for 2006
MA_2007	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2007	Mathematics Goal for 2007
MA_2008	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2008	Mathematics Goal for 2008
MA_2009	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2009	Mathematics Goal for 2009
MA_2010	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2010	Mathematics Goal for 2010
MA_2011	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2011	Mathematics Goal for 2011
MA_2012	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2012	Mathematics Goal for 2012
MA_2013	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2013	Mathematics Goal for 2013
MA_2014	Num 6.2	8	calculated	Mathematics Goal for 2014	Mathematics Goal for 2014
					ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) COMPONENT'S STATUS

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
IPGL2004	Num 5.1	8	Calculated	Improvement Goal Point for Accountability Cycle 2004	<ul> <li>"Goal Line" means a fixed line that extends from a point that is one (1) standard error of measurement below school's baseline index to a point that is one (1) standard error of measurement below the state goal established for the target biennium. Points calculated define this shall be rounded to the nearest tenth. In any biennium, a school's growth accountability index shall be at or above this line in order to achieve a classification of meets goal in recognition of growth. (703 KAR 5:020).</li> <li>The improvement goal point for accountability cycle 2004 is the biennium 2004 goal point on the goal line minus one standard error of measurement.</li> <li>Schools with service area reconfigurations after the 1998-1999 school year and within 2000-2001 &amp; 2001-2002 shall be assigned a improvement goal point for accountability cycle 2004 calculated from the 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 aggregated district level data for the appropriate level (elementary, middle, or high school)</li> <li>The school district may submit to the Department of Education a plan for reconstituting baseline data taking into consideration the changes in service areas. This alternative shall not be implemented until the affected schools have a complete biennium of data to be considered in the growth calculations.</li> </ul>
AYP_RD02	Char.	3		AYP Reading 2002	Prediction of the status of the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other indicator" which is the previous year accountability index.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_RDOA02	Char.	3		AYP Reading Overall Status 2002	Prediction of the above requirements are not meet the school/district has not meet the Reading AYP Overall Component status.
AYP_MA02	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics 2002	Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a.2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A  Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data)  Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other indicator" which is the previous year accountability index.

	Field	Field			
Field Name AYP MAOA02	Type Char.	Lengt 3	h Data Source	Description  AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2002	Specifications and Calculations  Mathematics AYP Overall Component status – 2002
AYP_MAOA02	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2002	Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A b Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data)  Note: To meet Mathematics AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Mathematics AYP component requirements (see above AYP_MA02), but must also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and/or AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements are not meet the school/district has not meet the Mathematics AYP Overall Component status.
AYP_PAR02	Char.	3		AYP Participation Rate 2002	Participation Rate AYP Component status –2002  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A  Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data)  • Participation Rate Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the Participation Rate AYP requirement (95% participation) for each content area (Reading & Mathematics) but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White, African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet Participation Rate AYP requirements. • Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).

Field Name		Field Lenath	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_AI02		AYP Accountability Index 2002	Accountability Index Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2002		
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable
					a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A  Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data)
					Note: Accountability Index Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. The 2002 Index is the CATS Accountability Index from 2001.
AYP_GR02	Char.	3		AYP Graduation Rate 2002	Graduation Rate High School AYP Component status –2002
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A  Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data)  Note: Graduation Rate Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") only reflects if the entire High School student body met the Graduation Rate AYP requirement.  At the high school level, under "other academic indicator" school /districts students must improve
					or be equal to 100 for their graduation rate. Kentucky will meet this requirement by calculating a graduation rate consistent with NCLB requirements.
AYP_OA02	Char.	3		AYP Overall Status 2002	Overall AYP Status – 2002  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable a. 2002 judgment not made on 2003 NCLB definition are rendered N/A  Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (Current year (2003) reconstituted school will have blank data)
					Note: For any school/district in which all students or a subpopulation does not meet all of the requirements of NCLB, the school/district will be considered as not meeting AYP.

File Name: NCLByy

Field Field

Date Created: August 3, 2004 Date Revised: August 3, 2004

1		Field			
Field Name	. 71		n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
NCLB_02	Char.	3		NCLB Consequences 2002	If a Title I school/district does not meet their overall AYP indicated by a N in variable AYP_OA02 there are NCLB consequences attached. Below is a list of NCLB consequences ranked by Tier.  N/A Title I school but No Applicable information a. Not a Title I school  Title I school has No Consequence Title I school has 2 consecutive years not making AYP: School choice, and write or revise school plan.  Title I school has 3 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services.  Title I school has 4 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services and implement corrective action.  Title I school has 5 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and write a plan for Alternative Governance.  Title I school has 6 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and implement Alternative Governance.
AYP_RD03	Char.	3		AYP Reading 2003	Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index.  Note: Reading Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the reading AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of total student population).

Field Name	, , , , ,		n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_RDOA03	Char.	3	1 Data Source	AYP Reading Overall Status 2003	Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status – 2003  Y Yes, meets AYP Overall requirements N No, does not meet AYP Overall requirements N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Note: To meet Reading AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Reading AYP component requirements (see above AYP_RD03), but must also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements (Reading AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index or Graduation rate (high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Reading AYP Overa Component status.  AYP Accountability Index requirements: Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement  1. Accountability Index must be greater than prior year; or  2. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  3. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  4. Accountability Index greater than or equal to goal  High School Graduation Rate Requirements: High School must be at or above the appropriate year's goal or have a current graduation rate that is greater than their prior graduation rate.  Year Rate  2003 = 71.00  2004 = 73.25  2005 = 75.50  2006 = 77.75  2007 = 80.00  2008 = 82.25  2009 = 84.50  2011 = 89.00  2012 = 91.25  2013 = 93.50  2014 = 95.75  2015 = 98.00  Note: All indicated rates are for the prior (lagged) year data  Note: If a school has both High School as well as elementary and/or middle school grades that school must meet both the Accountability Index requirements and High School Graduation Rate Requirements.  If a school does not have an Academic Index for a prior year (Reconfigured school etc.) tha AYP requirement shall not be negatively considered in meeting the school's overall AYP Component status requirements.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_MA03	Char.	3		AYP Mathematics 2003	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index.  Note: Mathematics Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the mathematics AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of total student population).

Field Name		Field Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_MAOA03	Char.	3	Data Course	AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2003	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status –2003
Field Name AYP_MAOA03	Туре	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description  AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2003	Y Yes, meets AYP Overall requirements N No, does not meet AYP Overall requirements N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Note: To meet Mathematics AYP Coverall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Mathematics AYP component requirements (see above AYP_MA03), but mus also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements (Mathematics AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index, or Graduation rate (high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Mathematics AYP Overall Component status.  AYP Accountability Index requirements: Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement  1. Accountability Index greater than prior year; or  2. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  3. Accountability Index greater than or equal to goal  High School Graduation Rate Requirements: High School must be at or above the appropriate year's goal or have a current graduation rate that is greater than their prior graduation rate.  Year Rate  2003 = 71.00  2004 = 73.25  2005 = 75.50  2006 = 77.75  2007 = 80.00  2012 = 91.25  2011 = 89.00  2012 = 91.25  2013 = 93.50  2014 = 95.75  2015 = 98.00  Note: All indicated rates are for the prior (lagged) year data  Note: If a school has both High School as well as elementary and/or middle school grades that school must meet both the Accountability Index requirements and High School Graduation Rate Requirements.
					If a school does not have an Academic Index for a prior year (Reconfigured school etc.) that AYP requirement shall not be negatively considered in meeting the school's overall AYP Component status requirement.

Field Name		Field	h Data Cauras	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_PAR03	Type Char.	3	h Data Source	AYP Participation Rate 2003	Participation Rate Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements No, does not meet AYP requirements  Participation Rate Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the Participation Rate AYP requirement (95% participation) for each content area (Reading & Mathematics) but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet Participation Rate AYP requirements.  Subpopulation Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).
AYP_AI03	Char.	3		AYP Accountability Index 2003	Accountability Index Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2003  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable a. Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Note: Accountability Index Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. The 2003 Index is the CATS Accountability Index from 2002.
AYP_GR03	Char.	3		AYP Graduation Rate 2003	Graduation Rate High School AYP Component status – 2003  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable a. A school that is using district non-cognitive data (see Action Codes) Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Note: Graduation Rate Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. It only reflects the High School student body and not any non 9-12 students that may be associated with a school that has additional grades beyond 9-12.

Field Name		Field	Doto Source	Dogoription	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_OA03	Type Char.	Lengti 3	Data Source	Description AYP Overall Status 2003	Specifications and Calculations  Overall AYP Status –2003
					Y Yes, meets all AYP requirements No, does not meet all AYP requirements If AYP_RD03 or AYP_RDOA03 or AYP_MA03 or AYP_MAOA03 AYP_PAR03 or AYP_Al03 or AYP_GR03 = N THEN AYP_OA03 = N  Note 1: For any school/district in which all students or a subpopulation does not meet all of the requirements of NCLB, the school/district will be considered as not meeting AYP.  Note 2 The state did seek a transitional authority concerning the testing of students with limited English proficiency for the Spring 2003 test administration. The federal law requires that students with limited English proficiency be assessed if enrolled in the school during testing, while Kentucky administrative regulation allows these students one year to learn English before being tested. The state's January 2003 federal application proposed that Kentucky continue its definition as it is in the best interest of the students. The U.S. Department of Education did not accept the proposal, which was not known until after the 2003 test administration. This school followed the Kentucky administrative regulations in place at the time of testing. As a result, whether the school met the participation rate for limited English proficiency students to make AYP this year was unclear until early Jan. 2004 when the USDOE acted on Kentucky's request for transitional authority. USDOE denied Kentucky's request.
NCLB_03	Char.	3		NCLB Consequences 2003	NCLB Consequences – 2003
					If a Title I school/district does not meet their overall AYP indicated by a N in variable AYP_OA03 there are NCLB consequences attached. Below is a list of NCLB consequences ranked by Tier.
					N/A Title I school but No Applicable information a. Not a Title I school
					<ul> <li>Title I school has No Consequence</li> <li>Title I school has 2 consecutive years not making AYP: School choice, and write or revise school plan.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>Title I school has 3 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services.</li> <li>Title I school has 4 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise</li> </ul>
					school plan, continue supplemental services and implement corrective action.  4 Title I school has 5 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and write a
					plan for Alternative Governance.  5 Title I school has 6 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and implement Alternative Governance.

E: 1151		Field	D 4 0	<b>5</b>	0 17 11 10 1 11
Field Name	Туре	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_RD04	Char.	3	Data Source	AYP Reading 2004	Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index.  Note: Reading Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the reading AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is of sufficient size (reportable) if it meets a minimum group size (must have 10 students per grade and (60 students overall per school/district or be 15% of the overall school/district total population)).

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004

August 3, 2004

August 3, 2004

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре		Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_RDOA04	Type Char.	3	Data Source	AYP Reading Overall Status 2004	Reading Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status – 2004  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Note: To meet Reading AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Reading AYP component requirements (see above AYP_RD04), but must also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements (Reading AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index, or Graduation rate (high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Reading AYP Overall Component status.  AYP Accountability Index requirements: Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement  1. Accountability Index must be greater than prior year; or  2. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  3. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  4. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  4. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  5. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  6. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  7. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  8. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  9. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  9. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  10. Accountability Index greater than or

		Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_MA04			Data Source	Description AYP Mathematics 2004	Specifications and Calculations  Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Safe Harbor – If a subpopulation does not meet its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO), it can still be in "safe harbor" and considered to have made AYP if the school reduced by at least 10% the number of students in the subpopulation who are not proficient, and that subpopulation made progress on the "other academic indicator" which is the accountability index.  Note: Reading Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the reading AYP requirement but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet AYP requirements. A subpopulation is of sufficient size (reportable) if it meets
					American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with

Field Name	 th Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_MAOA04		AYP Mathematics Overall Status 2004	Mathematics Elementary, middle, or high AYP Overall Component status –2004  Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements S Safe, meets AYP requirement through Safe Harbor (see additional info below) N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment  Note: To meet Mathematics AYP Overall requirements a school/district must not only meet the individual Mathematics AYP component requirements (see above AYP_MA04), but mus also meet three additional requirements. A school/district must meet the AYP Participation Rate requirements, the AYP Accountability Index requirements and if the school/district has a high school it must also meet the AYP Graduation Rate requirements. If any one of the above requirements (Mathematics AYP, Participation Rate, Accountability Index, or Graduation rate (high school only)) are not met the school/district has not meet the Mathematics AYP Overall Component status.  AYP Accountability Index requirements: Index must meet at least one of the following to meet requirement  1. Accountability Index must be greater than prior year; or  2. Accountability Index greater than or equal to 80.00  3. Accountability Index greater than or equal to goal  High School Graduation Rate Requirements: High School must be at or above the appropriate year's goal or have a current graduation rate that is greater than their prior graduation rate.  Year Rate  2003 = 71.00  2004 = 73.25  2005 = 75.50  2006 = 77.75  2011 = 89.00  Note: All indicated rates are for the prior (lagged) year data  Note: If a school has both High School as well as elementary and/or middle school grades that school must meet both the Accountability Index requirements and High School Graduation Rate Requirements.  If a school does not have an Academic Index for a prior year (Reconfigured school etc.) tha AYP requirement shall not be negatively considered in meeting the school's overall AYP

Field Name	Field Type	Field	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_PAR04	Char.	3	Data Source	AYP Participation Rate 2004	Participation Rate Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements
					<ul> <li>Participation Rate Component Status not only reflects if the entire student body met the Participation Rate AYP requirement (95% participation) for each content area (Reading &amp; Mathematics) but also if all NCLB reported subpopulations (White [non-Hispanic], African-American, Hispanic, Asian, Limited English Proficiency, Free/Reduced Lunch, students with Disabilities) meet Participation Rate AYP requirements.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>Subpopulation Participation Rate for AYP is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> </ul>
AYP_AI04 CF	Char.	3		AYP Accountability Index 2004	Accountability Index Elementary, middle, or high AYP Component status – 2004
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements N No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment
					Note: Accountability Index Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. The 2004 Index is the CATS Accountability Index from 2003.
AYP_GR04	Char.	3		AYP Graduation Rate 2004	Graduation Rate High School AYP Component status – 2004
					Y Yes, meets AYP requirements No, does not meet AYP requirements N/A Not Applicable a. A school that is using district non-cognitive data (see Action Codes) Blank Null, Not sufficient information to provide a judgment (typically a school that has
					not had stable existence for 6 years).  Note: Graduation Rate Component Status (which is under the NCLB's required "other academic indicator") is lagged one year. It only reflects the High School student body and not any non 9-12 students that may be associated with a school that has additional grades beyond 9-12.
AYP_OA04	Char.	3		AYP Overall Status 2004	Overall AYP Status –2004
					Y Yes, meets all AYP requirements N No, does not meet all AYP requirements If AYP_RD04 or AYP_RD0A04 or AYP_MA04 or AYP_MAOA04 AYP_PAR04 or AYP_AI04 or AYP_GR04 = N THEN AYP_OA04 = N
					Note 1: For any school/district in which all students or a subpopulation does not meet all of the requirements of NCLB, the school/district will be considered as not meeting AYP.

		Field			
Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
NCLB_04	Char.	3		NCLB Consequences 2004	If a Title I school/district does not meet their overall AYP indicated by a N in variable AYP_OA04 there are NCLB consequences attached. Below is a list of NCLB consequences ranked by Tier.  N/A School has No Applicable information  a. Not a Title I school  0 Title I school has No Consequence  1 Title I school has 2 consecutive years not making AYP: School choice, and write or revise school plan.  2 Title I school has 3 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, and offer supplemental services.  3 Title I school has 4 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services and implement corrective action.  4 Title I school has 5 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and write a plan for Alternative Governance.  5 Title I school has 6 consecutive years not making AYP: Continue school choice, revise school plan, continue supplemental services, continue corrective action, and implement Alternative Governance.
					ACCOUNTABILITY INDEX
TST_AI_03	Num 5.1	8	Calculated	Accountability Index 2003	Accountability Index for 2003 Calculations     For NCLB calculations the Accountability Index is lagged one year. The value is actually the CATS 2002 Accountability Index.     Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for Elementary and Middle schools     For high schools this indicator is not used and is blank unless the high school includes either an elementary or middle school grade(s)     Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data
TST_AI_04	Num 5.1	8	Calculated	Accountability Index 2003	Accountability Index for 2004 Calculations     For NCLB calculations the Accountability Index is lagged one year. The value is actually the CATS 2003 Accountability Index.     Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for Elementary and Middle schools     For high schools this indicator is not used and is blank unless the high school includes either an elementary or middle school grade(s)     Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data
					GRADUATION RATE

Field Name Type Length Data Source  GRAD_RT03  Num 5.2  Note: High School includes 9, 10 11, & 12 <sup>th</sup> grades This value is the CATS 2003 graduation rate, which is lagge Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional High schools For Middle & Elementary this indicator is not used and is blace."	
<ul> <li>5.2</li> <li>Note: High School includes 9, 10 11, &amp; 12<sup>th</sup> grades</li> <li>This value is the CATS 2003 graduation rate, which is lagged</li> <li>Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional High schools</li> <li>For Middle &amp; Elementary this indicator is not used and is blaced</li> </ul>	
and/or Elementary school includes a High school Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have a currently (standard diploma within 4 years, including students with disabilities will need more than four (4) years to obtain a standard diploma) divid year grade 12 completers (includes standard diplomas plus certificated number of currently year grade 12 dropouts plus the number of dropout grade that dropped out as 11th graders plus number of dropouts from that dropped out as 10th graders plus number of dropouts from the currently applied to the provided that dropped out as 9th graders plus number of dropouts from the currently graders and the provided that dropped out as 9th graders plus number of dropouts from the currently applied to the provided that dropped out as 9th graders plus number of dropouts from the currently graders plus number of dropouts from the cur	s blank unless the Middle  Il have blank data  ent year grade 12 completers es whose IEP's stipulate they divided by [number of current cates of completion) plus pouts from the current 12 <sup>th</sup> rom the current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class e current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class that

	Field	Field			
Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
GRAD_RT04	Num 5.2	8	Sum	Graduation Rate 2004	High School Graduation Rate 2004  Note: High School includes 9, 10 11, & 12 <sup>th</sup> grades  This value is the CATS 2004 graduation rate, which is lagged one year.  Variable is used as part of the calculation for the "additional academic indicator" for High schools  For Middle & Elementary this indicator is not used and is blank unless the Middle and/or Elementary school includes a High school  Schools that have a currently applied reconfiguration will have blank data  Student must graduate in four years (starting in 9 <sup>th</sup> grade) to count as a graduate (unless otherwise indicated below).  Students who do not graduate in four years, but have an IEP documenting their need for more than four years of secondary school education to complete their program may be counted as graduated if they completed high school as induced in their IEP.  Students who withdraw from a Kentucky school and  1. Enroll in a district or district-contracted alternative program that culminates in a General Educational Diploma; and 2. Earn a GED by October of the following year.  NOTE: "Graduation rate" means the quotient of: [number of current year grade 12 completers (standard diploma within 4 years, including students with disabilities whose IEP's stipulate they will need more than four (4) years to obtain a standard diploma) divided by [umber of current year grade 12 completers (includes standard diplomas plus certificates of completion) plus number of current year grade 12 dropouts plus the number of dropouts from the current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class that dropped out as 11 <sup>th</sup> graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class that dropped out as 10 <sup>th</sup> graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class that dropped out as 9 <sup>th</sup> graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class that dropped out as 9 <sup>th</sup> graders plus number of dropouts from the current 12 <sup>th</sup> grade class that dropped out as 9 <sup>th</sup> graders].
					TOTAL TESTED

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_TST_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AYP Total Tested Reading Status	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Total Tested Reading Status
					Y Yes, made AYP  If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).  N No, did not make AYP  S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_TST_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AYP Total Tested Mathematics Status	Y Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).  No, did not make AYP S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name		Field Lenat	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_TST_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AYP Total Tested Participation Rate Status	Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Total Tested Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, made AYP N No, did not make AYP * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment
					Note: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this population.
TST_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 4 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 5 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ST_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 7 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 8 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Type	Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 10 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grade 11 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Total Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	Total number of grades 4 – 12 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of the total number of accountable students tested in Reading who were Proficient or Distinguished – 2003
					Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.
TST_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Reading Flag 2003	Place Holder – blank
TST_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of the total number of accountable students tested in Mathematics who were Proficient or Distinguished – 2003
					Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.
TST_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Mathematics Flag 2003	Place Holder – blank

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ST_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 4 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ST_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 5 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 7 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ST_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 8 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ST_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 10 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grade 11 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Total Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	Total number of grades 4 – 12 accountable students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
TST_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Total Tested Reading 2004	Total students tested in Reading – 2004
	0.0				<ul> <li>Total number of Reading students accountable to this school.</li> <li>Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.</li> </ul>
TST_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Total students tested in Reading – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished – 2004
	0.2			a 2 cangalones 200 .	Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.
TST_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Total students tested in Reading – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
				,	<ul> <li>The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.</li> </ul>
TST_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Total Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Total students tested in Reading –Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004
				255 255 .	<ul> <li>This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).</li> <li>Critical value for df's &gt; 100 equal the critical value of df = 100</li> </ul>
TST_RD_FG_04	Num	8		Reading Flag 2004	Place Holder – blank
	3.0				

File Name: NCLByy

Date Created: August 3, 2004 Date Revised: August 3, 2004

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_MA_04	Num 6.0	œ	Sum	Total Tested Mathematics 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – 2004  Total number of Mathematics students accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
TST_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished – 2004     Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.
TST_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
TST_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Total Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Total students tested in Mathematics – Percentage of students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used – 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
TST_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Mathematics Flag 2004	Place Holder – blank

Field Name		Field Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TST_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Total Tested Participation Rate 2004	Total students tested Participation Rate – 2004  • Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).
					Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.  Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.
					<ul> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Participation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported.</li> <li>If the participation rate for current year (2003-04) falls below 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003)</li> </ul>
TST_PAR_CNT_04	Num	8	Sum	Total eligible Participation Count 2004	and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported.  Total number of students eligible to be tested Participation Count – 2004
	6.0				<ul> <li>Count includes all non-exempted student with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility</li> <li>Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).</li> </ul>
TST_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Total Tested Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Total Tested student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:
					<ol> <li>Computed using only current year data</li> <li>Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them</li> <li>Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them</li> </ol>
					ETHNICITY - WHITE (NON-HISPANIC)

Field Name		Field	h Data Causas	Description	Considerations and Coloulations
Field Name AYP_ETW_RD	Type Char.	Lengt	h Data Source Calculated	Description  AMO Ethnicity White Reading Status	Specifications and Calculations  Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) – Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Reading Status
					Y Yes, made AYP  If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).  No, did not make AYP  Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_ETW_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity White Mathematics Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics Status  Y Yes, made AYP  If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).  N No, did not make AYP  S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETW_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity White Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ETW_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic)Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003  • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8	Data Source	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total
ETW_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient &	of 60 subpopulation students).  Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003
	0.2			Distinguished 2003	Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.
ETW_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					<ul> <li>0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> <li>1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETW_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Туре	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100)
					instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.
					<ul> <li>Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETW_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic students tested in Reading – 2004
					<ul> <li>Total number of White Reading students accountable to this school.</li> <li>Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.</li> </ul>
ETW_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004
				Distinguished 2001	<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>
ETW_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
				3	• The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.
					<ul> <li>If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.</li> </ul>

Field Name		Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for df's > 100 equal the critical value of df = 100
ETW_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETW_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White Tested Mathematics 2004	Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic students tested in Mathematics – 2004  Total number of White Mathematics students accountable to this school.  Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETW_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETW_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
ETW_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

		Field			
Field Name	Туре		h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic students Participation Rate – 2004</li> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked.</li> <li>Participation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year gercentage is reported.</li> <li>2. If the participation rate for the current year gercentage is reported.</li> <li>If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough students for a sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be repor</li></ul>
ETW_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) eligible Participation Count 2004	<ul> <li>Ethnicity – White non-Hispanic eligible to be tested students Participation Count – 2004</li> <li>Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.</li> <li>Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).</li> </ul>

		Field			
Field Name			h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETW_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic) Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for White non-Hispanic student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  O. Computed using only current year data Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					ETHNICITY - AFRICAN-AMERICAN
AYP_ETB_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity African-American Reading Status	Y Yes, made AYP If the upper bound value of the confidence interval for this population is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).  N No, did not make AYP S Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AYP status judgment Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

		Field			
Field Name		Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETB_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_ETB_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ETB_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity African-American Population Count  – Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity African-American grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.
					Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only of underty that have been in percel/district a full goodewip years are considered.
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003
					<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>
ETB_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					<ul> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> <li>The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> </ul>
					0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis
					1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name		Field Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETB_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETB_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
TB_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETB_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Type	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity African-American Population Count  – Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity African-American grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).
					<ul> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> <li>Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.</li> </ul>
ETB_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – African-American students tested in Reading – 2004     Total number of African-American Reading students accountable to this school.     Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETB_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETB_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETB_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity African-American Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  • Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.
					<ul> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> </ul>
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					<ul> <li>1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETB_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics 2004	Ethnicity – African-American students tested in Mathematics – 2004
					<ul> <li>Total number of African-American Mathematics students accountable to this school.</li> <li>Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.</li> </ul>
ETB_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004
					<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>
ETB_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
				Biolinguistica Communica marta 200 i	<ul> <li>The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.</li> </ul>
					If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETB_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity African-American Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor	Percentage of Ethnicity African-American Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004
				used 2004	<ul> <li>This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).</li> <li>Critical value for df's &gt; 100 equal the critical value of df = 100</li> </ul>

	ield	<b>5</b>	5	
,		Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity African-American student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been
				already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
 Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Ethnicity – African-American students Participation Rate – 2004</li> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>1. f the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported.</li> <li>2. If the participation rate for current year percentage is greater is the one that will be reported.</li> <li>If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough students for a sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported.</li> </ul>

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETB_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity African-American eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.      Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.      Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.      Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).
ETB_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity African-American Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for African-American student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					ETHNICITY - HISPANIC

	Field				
Field Name		Length		Description	Specifications and Calculations
Field Name AYP_ETH_RD			Data Source Calculated	Description  AMO Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Status	Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) – Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate
AYP_ETH_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Status	improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).  Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Status
					Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Field Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETH_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ETH_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Date Created: August 3, 2004 Date Revised: August 3, 2004

File Name: NCLByy

Field Name	Туре		h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_08_03	3 Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Hispanic Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.
					<ul> <li>Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> </ul>
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).

Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis  1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETH_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per
ETH_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Flag 2003	grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).  An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.
					<ul> <li>INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:</li> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> <li>The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> <li>1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETH_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lenat	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Hispanic Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Hispanic grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETH_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – Hispanic students tested in Reading – 2004     Total number of Hispanic Reading students accountable to this school.     Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETH_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETH_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> .  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.

Field Name		Field Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
ETH_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.
					<ul> <li>INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:         <ul> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> <li>The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total accountable grade and a total state of the countable grade grade and a total state of the countable grade gra</li></ul>
ETH_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics 2004	of 60 subpopulation students).  Ethnicity – Hispanic students tested in Mathematics – 2004  Total number of Hispanic Mathematics students accountable to this school.  Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETH_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETH_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Hispanic Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
ETH_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Hispanic Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Hispanic student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Ethnicity – Hispanic students Participation Rate – 2004</li> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked.</li> <li>Participation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003). and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported in the previous two years.</li> </ul>
ETH_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Hispanic eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.      Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.      Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.      Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).

Field Name		Field	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETH_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8	Data Source	Ethnicity Hispanic Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Hispanic student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					ETHNICITY - ASIAN
AYP_ETA_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Asian Reading Status	Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) – Ethnicity Asian Reading Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

		Field			
Field Name			h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ETA_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_ETA_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ETA_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Asian Population Count – Students Accountable 2003	Number of Ethnicity Asian grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis  1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name		Field Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2003  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis 1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETA_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Ethnicity Asian Population Count – Students Accountable 2004	Number of Ethnicity Asian grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ETA_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading 2004	Ethnicity – Asian students tested in Reading – 2004  Total number of Asian Reading students accountable to this school. Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETA_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETA_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Asian Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name	Type	Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total
ETA_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics 2004	of 60 subpopulation students).  Ethnicity – Asian students tested in Mathematics – 2004      Total number of Asian Mathematics students accountable to this school.     Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
ETA_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished – 2004  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ETA_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ETA_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Ethnicity Asian Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Ethnicity Asian Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used – 2004  • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N – 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  • Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

		Field			
Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Ethnicity Asian student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades – 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been
					already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ETA_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Ethnicity – Asian students Participation Rate – 2004</li> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked.</li> <li>Participation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year (2003-04) falls below 95%, it is averaged with the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported for the current year, because is exported in the previous two years.</li> </ul>

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ETA_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Ethnicity Asian eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.      Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.      Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.      Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD – School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD – Code of Accountability).
ETA_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Ethnicity Asian Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Asian student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

Field Name		Field Lenatl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_LEP_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Limited English Proficiency Reading Status	Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) – Limited English Proficiency Reading Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose
					Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met
					<ul> <li>S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria: <ol> <li>Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring</li> </ol> </li></ul>
					below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2.Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)
					* = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment
					Note: <b>Safe Harbor</b> – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless
AVD LED MA	Ola au	4	Onlawlated	ANO Limited Foodish Design	the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_LEP_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Status
					Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met
					S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring
					below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And 2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)
					* = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment
					Note: <b>Safe Harbor</b> – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_LEP_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective – Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
LEP_RD_COMB	Char.	1	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population Status	Limited English Proficiency Reading Combined Population Status used to indicate if the percentage of Proficient or above LEP used students who have exited the LEP program in the last two years for LEP to ascertain if AMO was attained.  To be used only if LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP. Need not be used even if there is sufficient size. Proceduire can not be used to obtain sufficient size  Blank No exited LEP students used Combined current and exited LEP students used
LEP_MA_COMB	Char.	1	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Combined Population Status	Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Combined Population Status used to indicate if the percentage of Proficient or above LEP used students who have exited the LEP program in the last two years for LEP to ascertain if AMO was attained.  To be used only if LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP. Need not be used even if there is sufficient size. Proceduire can not be used to obtain sufficient size  Blank No exited LEP students used Combined current and exited LEP students used
LEP_PAR_COMB	Char.	1	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Participation Combined Population Status	Limited English Proficiency Participation Combined Population Status used to indicate if the percentage of Proficient or above LEP used students who have exited the LEP program in the last two years for LEP to ascertain if AMO was attained.  To be used only if LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable for AYP. Need not be used even if there is sufficient size. Proceduire can not be used to obtain sufficient size  Blank No exited LEP students used Combined current and exited LEP students used

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Population Count - Students Accountable 2003	Number of Limited English Proficiency grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_RDPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).

Field Name		Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8	- Bata Codioc	Limited English Proficiency Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					<ul> <li>0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> <li>1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
_EP_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003
					<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>
_EP_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					<ul> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> </ul>
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					<ul> <li>0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> <li>1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LEP_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.
					Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Limited English Proficiency Population Count - Students Accountable 2004	Number of Limited English Proficiency grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LEP_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading 2004	Limited English Proficiency students tested in Reading - 2004     Total number of Limited English Proficiency Reading students accountable to this school.     Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
LEP_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004  Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).  If LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by attainment of English proficiency) in the last two years will be added to the current year subpopulation prior to calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above.

Field Name		Field Lengt	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> .  If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LEP_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Limited English Proficiency Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004  • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  • Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
LEP_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LEP_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics 2004	Limited English Proficiency students tested in Mathematics - 2004     Total number of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students accountable to this school.     Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.

Field Name			h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).  If LEP subpopulation is of sufficient size to be reportable, LEP students who have exited the LEP program (by attainment of English proficiency) in the last two years will be added to the current year subpopulation prior to calculation of the percentage of Proficient or above.
LEP_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> .  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LEP_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Limited English Proficiency Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	<ul> <li>Percentage of Limited English Proficiency Mathematics students Proficient &amp; Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004</li> <li>This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).</li> <li>Critical value for <i>df</i>'s &gt; 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100</li> </ul>
LEP_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Limited English Proficiency student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a tota of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name			Data Source	Description Limited English Proficiency Participation	Specifications and Calculations Limited English Proficiency et idents Participation Rate - 2004
EP_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the current year percentage is reported.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-200 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported in the previous two years</li> </ul>
LEP_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Limited English Proficiency eligible Participation Count 2004	<ul> <li>Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.</li> <li>Count uses the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability).</li> </ul>

Field Name		Field Lengtl	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LEP_PAR_FG_04	3.0	8		Limited English Proficiency Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Limited English Proficiency student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					FREE AND REDUCED LUNCH PROGRAM
AYP_LUP_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading Status	Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) - Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_LUP_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Status	Annual Measurable Objective - Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).
AYP_LUP_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective - Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
LUP_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Type	Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
				Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Population Count - Students Accountable 2003	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per
				see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003  • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per
Num	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading	grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).  An indicator for Reading that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student
3.0			Flag 2003	subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis  1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total
	Num 6.0 Num 6.0 Num 6.2	Num 8 6.0	Num         8         Sum           Num         8         Calculated           6.0         8         Percent           Num         8         Percent           Num         8         8	Num 8 Calculated Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003  Num 8 Calculated Free and Reduced Lunch Program Population Count - Students Accountable 2003  Num 8 Percent Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003  Num 8 Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis  1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LUP_04_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_08_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name	Type	Lengtl	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Population Count - Students Accountable 2004	Number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
LUP_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading 2004	Free and Reduced Lunch Program students tested in Reading - 2004  Total number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading students accountable to this school.  Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.
LUP_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004  • Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> .  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LUP_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for <i>df's</i> > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8	Buta course	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total
LUP_MA_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics 2004	of 60 subpopulation students).  Free and Reduced Lunch Program students tested in Mathematics - 2004
	0.0			Mathematics 2004	<ul> <li>Total number of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students accountable to this school.</li> <li>Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.</li> </ul>
LUP_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004  Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.  Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
LUP_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
LUP_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Free and Reduced Lunch Program Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004  • This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  • Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100

Field				
Field Name Type  LUP MA FG 04 Nur		h Data Source	Description  Free and Reduced Lunch Program	Specifications and Calculations  An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Free and Reduced Lunch Program student
LUP_MA_FG_04 Nur 3.0	_		Mathematics Flag 2004	<ul> <li>subpopulation comprises 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004.</li> <li>INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:         <ul> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> <li>The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been</li> </ul>
				already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
LUP_PAR_04 Nur 3.0	-	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Free and Reduced Lunch Program students Participation Rate - 2004</li> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>1. If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported.</li> <li>2. If the participation rate for the prior year (2002-2003), and with both the two prior years (2002-2003 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported.</li> <li>If a school or district does not have data for all 3 years, the data available will be averaged. This situation could occur when a school has enough students for a sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have suffici</li></ul>

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
LUP_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Free and Reduced Lunch Program eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.      Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.      Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.      Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability).
LUP1.	Num 3.0	8		Free and Reduced Lunch Program Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Free and Reduced Lunch Program student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.
					STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ACD_RD	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Students with Disabilities Reading Status	Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) - Students with Disabilities Reading Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless
AYP_ACD_MA	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Students with Disabilities Mathematics Status	the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).  Annual Measurable Objective - Students with Disabilities Mathematics Status  Y = Yes, met AMO  If the value of confidence interval plus the value of percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above is equal to or greater than its Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.  N = No, AMO not met  S = Safe, met AMO requirement through Safe Harbor  If AMO is not normally met a school/district can still meet AYP thru Safe Harbor by meeting both the following criteria:  1. Students in the particular subpopulation have 10% fewer students scoring below proficient than last year (in reading and/or mathematics). And  2. Students in the particular subpopulation have improved their academic index or have obtained an academic index of 100 or greater. (Note that the academic index is lagged a year.)  * = Asterisk, Not sufficient population to make AMO status judgment  Note: Safe Harbor – If a school does not meet the reading or mathematics requirement for meeting AYP, the school shall be considered to have met AYP if within the school or subpopulation(s) that did not meet the federal requirements, the percent of students scoring below proficient is reduced by 10% and the students in the same subpopulation(s) demonstrate improvement on the academic index at the elementary, middle, and high school levels (unless the academic index is equal to or greater than 100).

Field Name	Type	Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
AYP_ACD_PAR	Char.	1	Calculated	AMO Students with Disabilities Participation Rate Status	Annual Measurable Objective - Students with Disabilities Participation Rate Status  Y Yes, met AMO N No, AMO not met * Asterisk, Not sufficient size to make AMO status judgment  Note 1: To make AYP you must have at least a 95% participation rate for all students in this subpopulation of sufficient size.  Note 2: Sufficient size for Participation Rate occurs only if reportable population meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).]
ACD_04_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_05_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_07_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year — A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Туре	Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_08_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_10_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_11_03	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2003.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.

Field Name		Field Length	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_TPC_03	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Students with Disabilities Population Count - Students Accountable 2003	Number of Students with Disabilities grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, 8, 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2003.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) <i>instructional</i> days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003
					<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>
ACD_RD_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Reading Flag 2003	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003.
					<ul> <li>INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:</li> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> </ul>
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.
					<ul> <li>0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> <li>1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).
ACD_MAPD_03	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2003	Percentage of Students with Disabilities mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2003
					<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>

Field Name	Туре	Field Lengtl	n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_MA_FG_03	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Mathematics Flag 2003	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2003.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  • There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  • The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  • The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  0 = 15% trigger not used for sufficient size AMO analysis  1 = 15% trigger was used for sufficient size AMO analysis.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total
	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 4 Students Accountable 2004	of 60 subpopulation students).  Number of Students with Disabilities grade 4 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations
					<ul> <li>Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.</li> <li>Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.</li> </ul>
ACD_05_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 5 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 5 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 4 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.
					Note: <b>Full Academic Year</b> – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_07_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 7 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 7 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first

Field Name	Туре	Field Lengtl		Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_08_04	6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 8 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 8 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 8 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_10_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 10 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 10 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  • Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations • Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_11_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Count of Grade 11 Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grade 11 students tested (KCCT) plus the grade 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district in 2004.  Count used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) calculations Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_TPC_04	Num 6.0	8	Calculated	Students with Disabilities Population Count - Students Accountable 2004	Number of Students with Disabilities grades 4 – 12 students tested (KCCT) plus the grades 4, 8, & 12 submission year Alternate Portfolio (AP) students accountable to the school/district (as appropriate to school/district configuration) in 2004.  Count only used in Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) sufficient size calculation to see if subpopulation meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  Only students that have been in school/district a full academic year are considered.  Note: Full Academic Year – A full academic year is considered as one hundred (100) instructional days (not necessarily consecutive) of enrollment in a school/district, from the first day of school to the first day of testing window.
ACD_RD_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading 2004	Students with Disabilities students tested in Reading - 2004     Total number of Students with Disabilities Reading students accountable to this school.     Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.

Field Name		Field Lengt	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_RDPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004
					<ul> <li>Percent of students whose Reading performance was Proficient or above.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).</li> </ul>
ACD_RDCI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004
					<ul> <li>The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Reading to the product of the critical value (student t 99%) and the standard error of the proportion.</li> </ul>
					<ul> <li>If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.</li> </ul>
ACD_RDCI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Students with Disabilities Tested Reading Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities reading students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004
					<ul> <li>This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom df equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).</li> <li>Critical value for df's &gt; 100 equal the critical value of df = 100</li> </ul>
ACD_RD_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Reading Flag 2004	An indicator for Reading that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004.
					INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:
					<ul> <li>There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.</li> <li>The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).</li> </ul>
					The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population
					<ul> <li>1 = The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> <li>0 = The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.</li> </ul>
					Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total
ACD_MA_04	Num	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Tested	of 60 subpopulation students).  Students with Disabilities students tested in Mathematics - 2004
	6.0			Mathematics 2004	Total number of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students accountable to this school.
					Count includes Alternate Portfolio students.

Field Name	Field Type	Field Lenat	h Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_MAPD_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students that are Proficient or Distinguished - 2004  • Percent of students whose Mathematics performance was Proficient or above. • Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets sufficient size criteria (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district or 15% of population).
ACD_MACI_04	Num 6.2	8	Percent	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students Proficient or Distinguished Confidence Interval – 2004  • The upper bound of the confidence interval CI is obtained by adding to the observed percent of students scoring Proficient or above in Mathematics to the product of the critical value (student <i>t</i> 99%) and the <i>standard error of the proportion</i> .  • If the upper bound value of this confidence interval is equal to or greater than the school's/district's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) then the school/district has met the requirements for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for this population.
ACD_MACI_FAC_04	Num 6.4	8	Table	Students with Disabilities Tested Mathematics Percentage Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval Factor used 2004	Percentage of Students with Disabilities Mathematics students Proficient & Distinguished Confidence Interval factor used - 2004  This value (factor) is the critical value from Student's <i>t</i> distribution with degrees of freedom <i>df</i> equal to N - 1. The probability used is .01 (two-tail).  Critical value for <i>df</i> 's > 100 equal the critical value of <i>df</i> = 100
ACD_MA_FG_04	Num 3.0	8		Students with Disabilities Mathematics Flag 2004	An indicator for Mathematics that marks if the Students with Disabilities student subpopulation comprise 15% of all students in combined tested grades - 2004.  INDICATOR ONLY USED IF:  There are at lease 10 subpopulation students per accountable grade.  The subpopulation did not meet the 60 rule (60 subpopulation students overall per school/district).  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  The subpopulation DOES NOT constitutes at least 15% of the total accountable student population.  Note: If flag is set there is sufficient size for AMO analysis. However, if the flag is not set that does not mean that there is not sufficient size for AMO analysis. AMO analysis could have been already triggered by 10/60 rule (10 subpopulation students at each accountable grade and a total of 60 subpopulation students).

Field Name			n Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_PAR_04	Num 3.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities Participation Rate 2004	<ul> <li>Students with Disabilities students Participation Rate - 2004</li> <li>Rate is an average of the number of tested students divided by the number of eligible students by appropriate school level. For example, if a school has multiple levels (i.e. elementary and middle (grades P-8)) the overall rate (to the nearest whole number) would be the average of the elementary rate (to the nearest whole number) and the middle school rate (to the nearest whole number).</li> <li>Rate Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.</li> <li>Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.</li> <li>Writing portfolio student count is not used in the calculation of participation rate</li> <li>Rate uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOG - Code of Accountability) relative to ALL Students within the school. NO enrolled student at the time of testing may be excluded from the NCLB Participation rate.</li> <li>Subpopulation is only reportable if it meets a minimum group size (10 students per grade and 60 students overall per school/district).</li> <li>If subpopulation is not reportable because it did not met the 10/60 rule the data is blanked.</li> <li>Participation rate can be an average of up to three years.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the current year is equal to or exceeds 95%, it is reported and no averaging is needed. The current year percentage is reported.</li> <li>If the participation rate for the prior year (2002-203) and with both the two prior years (2002-200 and 2001-2002). Whichever of these two averages is greater is the one that will be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported for the current year, but did not have sufficient population to be reported for the current ye</li></ul>
ACD_PAR_CNT_04	Num 6.0	8	Sum	Students with Disabilities eligible Participation Count 2004	Count Includes all non-exempted students with the exception of Limited English Proficient (LEP), foreign exchange students or students that have transferred to another state during testing.      Alternate portfolio student count will be used for each grade that their performance scores are used.      Writing portfolio student count is not used in eligibility.      Count uses the number of students tested at this school (CODEOGOD - School of Origin) NOT the number of students accountable to this school (CODEOD - Code of Accountability).

	Field	Field			
Field Name	Type	Length	Data Source	Description	Specifications and Calculations
ACD_PAR_FG_04	Num 3.0	8	Data Source	Students with Disabilities Participation Rate Computation Flag 2004	An indicator for Students with Disabilities student participation rate that marks the type of computation used to calculate the rate – 2004  Participation Rate Calculation Types:  0. Computed using only current year data 2. Computed using the latest two year participation rates and averages them 3. Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them  Note: The setting of the Participation Rate Flag to 3 (Computed using the latest three year participation rates and averages them) it does not necessarily mean that three years of data is used to calculate the participation rate. It is possible that in the second year the school/district
					did not have a sufficient size sub population and thus no rate was calculated.